

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/614,874	Applicant(s) PETERSEN ET AL.
	Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow	Art Unit 1724

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Stoneman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 1 was amended to make section c) to be consistent with section d). The agreed upon language is "at least one support means". Claim 15 was amended to clearly recite a proper Markush claim (the word "and" was added. See Ex's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/OPAS Signature)  
PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)